STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER					
CALIF. HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	MISTY MILLER	(916) 445-0178					
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE	NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z						
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT							
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)							
A. ESTIMATED I NIVATE DESTON COST INIPACTS	(include calculations and assumption	is in the rulemaking record.)					
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	ether this regulation:						
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees		e. Imposes reporting requirements					
b. Impacts small businesses		f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance					
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts California competitiveness		h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)					
h. (cont.)							
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked		tement.)					
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Describe the types of	f businesses (Include nonprofits.):					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	impacted that are small businesses:						
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created:	elim_	inated:					
Explain:		·					
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional	(List areas.):					
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or eliminated: Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:							
Will the regulation affect the ability of California busing	inesses to compete with other states	by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?					
Yes No If yes, explai	n briefly:						
3. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assu							
		ply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$					
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$		s: \$ Years:					
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing cost	s: \$ Years:					
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing cost	s: \$ Years:					
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:							

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

3. If the regulation imposes reporting	requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business n	nay incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar
costs to do programming, record k	eeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not th	e paperwork must be submitted.): \$
4. Will this regulation directly impact	nousing costs? Yes No If yes, e	nter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the
number of units:		
5. Are there comparable Federal regu	ations? Yes No Explain the need fo	r State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal
regulations:		
Enter any additional costs to busin	esses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Feder	al differences: \$
. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation	n of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required	by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
. Briefly summarize the benefits that	may result from this regulation and who will benefit:	
	specific statutory requirements, or goals develo	•
. What are the total statewide benefit	from this regulation over its lifetime? \$	
. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem	aking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.)	aking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not lain why not:
. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law List alternatives considered and des	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law List alternatives considered and des	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.)	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA recifically required by rulemaking law List alternatives considered and des	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law. List alternatives considered and des Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1:	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law. List alternatives considered and des Summarize the total statewide costs. Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law. List alternatives considered and des . Summarize the total statewide costs . Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cos	lain why not:nsidered:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law. List alternatives considered and des Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification iss	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cos	lain why not:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law. List alternatives considered and des Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification iss	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cos	lain why not: Insidered: Ins
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA pecifically required by rulemaking law List alternatives considered and des Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification iss Rulemaking law requires agencies equipment, or prescribes specific acceptable.	TION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulem but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives were considered, exp and benefits from this regulation and each alternative co Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ C	lain why not: Insidered: Ins

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimat	ed costs of this regulation to Califo	ornia business enterprises ex	ceed \$10 million ? Yes	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
Briefly describe	each equally as an effective alter	rnative, or combination of alte	ernatives, for which a cost-effectivenes	ss analysis was performed:
3. For the regulation	on, and each alternative just descr	ribed, enter the estimated tota	al cost and overall cost-effectiveness r	ratio:
Regulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
		FISCAL IMPAC		
A. FISCAL EFFEC year and two subs	T ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Ir equent Fiscal Years.)	ndicate appropriate boxes1 th	rough 6 and attach calculations and a	assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional e	xpenditures of approximately \$ _ Article XIII B of the California Co	in the constitution and Sections 17500	urrent State Fiscal Year which are rein) et seq. of the Government Code. Fu	mbursable by the State pursuant to nding for this reimbursement:
a. is	provided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
b. w	Il be requested in the(FI	Gover	mor's Budget for appropriation in Bud	get Act of
Section 6 of	Article XIII B of the California Cor plements the Federal mandate co	nstitution and Sections 17500 ontained in	et seq. of the Government Code bec	
	court in the case of			
	plements a mandate of the people action;	∍ of this State expressed in the	neir approval of Proposition No.	at the (DATE)
d. is is	ssued only in response to a specif	ic request from the		
			, which is/a	are the only local entity(s) affected;
e. wil	l be fully financed from the		(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
		of the		Code;
f. pro	ovides for savings to each affected	d unit of local government wh	ich will, at a minimum, offset any addi	tional costs to each such unit;
g. cre	ates, eliminates, or changes the p	penalty for a new crime or infi	raction contained in	·
3. Savings of	approximately \$	annually.		
4. No addition	al costs or savings because this re	egulation makes only technic	al, non-substantive or clarifying chang	ges to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.					
6. Other.					
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:					
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.					
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.					
4. Other.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
1 Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.					
4. Other.					
FISCAL OFFICER GNATURE L. STEVEN SPEARS, CHIEF DEPUTY DIRECTOR ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	DATE July 09, 2009				
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE				
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE NOT REQUIRED					
PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE	DATE				
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE NOT REQUIRED					

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

^{2.} Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.